arXiv:1404.2105v1 [math.AG] 8 Apr 2014

ON THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF THE HILBERT SCHEME OF POINTS ON AN ENRIQUES SURFACE

ANDREAS KRUG AND PAWEL SOSNA

Abstract. We use semi-orthogonal decompositions to construct autoequivalences of Hilbert schemes of points on Enriques surfaces and of Calabi–Yau varieties which cover them. While doing this, we show that the derived category of a surface whose irregularity and geometric genus vanish embeds into the derived category of its Hilbert scheme of points.

1. Introduction

The bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective complex variety Z, denoted by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$, is now widely recognized as an important invariant which can be used to study the geometry of Z. It is therefore quite natural to consider the group of autoequivalences $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z))$. This group always contains the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z))$ of so-called standard autoequivalences, namely those generated by automorphisms of Z, the shift functor and tensor products with line bundles. Note that all these equivalences send coherent sheaves to (shifts of) coherent sheaves. A classical result by Bondal and Orlov, see [8], states that $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z))$ if ω_Z is either ample or anti-ample. Therefore, we expect the most interesting behaviour if the canonical bundle is trivial. For instance, if $\omega_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$ and $\mathrm{H}^i(Z, \omega_Z) = 0$ for all $0 < i < \dim(Z)$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z))$ contains so-called spherical twists, which are more interesting than the ones mentioned above since, in general, they do not preserve the abelian category of coherent sheaves; see [28].

It is usually quite difficult to construct new autoequivalences of a given variety. However, if $\mathcal{A}$ is some triangulated category and an exact functor $\Phi\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ is a so-called spherical or $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor, see Subsection 2.5, we do get an autoequivalence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$. For example, let $\tilde{X}$ be a K3 surface and $\tilde{X}^{[n]}$ its Hilbert scheme of n points. It was shown in [1] that the Fourier–Mukai functor $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X}^{[n]})$ with the ideal sheaf of the universal family as kernel is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor whose associated autoequivalence is new. Interestingly, for an abelian surface A the corresponding functor is not a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor, but pulling

everything to the generalised Kummer variety does give one; see [21]. Another example was given in [18] where it was shown that, given any surface S, there is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S^{[n]})$ which is defined using equivariant methods.

In this paper we will construct new examples of spherical functors using Enriques surfaces and Hilbert schemes of points on them. Let X be an Enriques surface and $X^{[n]}$ the Hilbert scheme of n points on X. The canonical cover of $X^{[n]}$ is a Calabi–Yau variety (see [22] or [23]) and will be denoted by CY_n. Write $\pi\colon \mathrm{CY}_n \longrightarrow X^{[n]}$ for the quotient map. Consider the Fourier–Mukai functor $F\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$ induced by the ideal sheaf of the universal family.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.16)**.** *The functor*

$$\tilde{F} = \pi^* F\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$$

is split spherical for all $n \geq 2$ and the associated twist $\tilde{T}$ is equivariant, so descends to an autoequivalence of $X^{[n]}$. The autoequivalence $\tilde{T}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$ is not standard and not a spherical twist.

Under some conditions we can also compare our twist $\tilde{T}$ to the autoequivalences constructed in [25]; see Proposition 3.21.

Once we establish Theorem 1.2 below, the first part of the theorem is an incarnation of the following general principle, see Proposition 3.7:

If Y is a smooth projective variety whose canonical bundle is of order 2, $\tilde{Y}$ its canonical cover with quotient map $\pi\colon \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$, and $\mathcal{A}$ an admissible subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Y)$ with embedding functor $i\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Y)$, then $\pi^* i$ is a split spherical functor and the associated twist is equivariant.

The following result might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. *If S is any surface with $p_g = q = 0$, then the FM-transform $F\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S^{[n]})$ whose kernel is the ideal sheaf of the universal family, is fully faithful, hence $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S)$ is an admissible subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(S^{[n]})$.*

Since there are many semi-orthogonal decompositions of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$, we have many, potentially non-standard, twists associated with them.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present some background information, before proving our main results in Section 3. In Section 4 we give a general construction of exceptional sequences on the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ out of exceptional sequences on a surface S. This construction has been independently considered by Evgeny Shinder. In particular, we have the following result which is probably well-known to experts.

Proposition 1.3. *If S is a surface having a full exceptional collection, then the same holds for $S^{[n]}$.*

In the last section we describe what we call truncated ideal functors which provide us with a further example of a fully faithful functor $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$ for X an Enriques surface, and, in some cases, $\mathbb{P}^n$-functors on smooth Deligne–Mumford stacks. The last section also gives some background on the proof of Proposition 3.3, the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Conventions. We will work over the complex numbers and all functors are assumed to be derived. We will write $\mathcal{H}^i(E)$ for the i-th cohomology object of a complex $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ and $\mathrm{H}^*(E)$ for the complex $\oplus_i \mathrm{H}^i(Z, E)[-i]$. If F is a functor, its right adjoint will be denoted by F^R and the left adjoint by F^L.

Acknowledgements. We thank Ciaran Meachan for comments. A.K. was supported by the SFB/TR 45 of the DFG (German Research Foundation). P.S. was partially financially supported by the RTG 1670 of the DFG.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Hilbert schemes of surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$.** Let S be a surface with $p_g = q = 0$ and consider $S^{[n]}$, the Hilbert scheme of n points on S. Then we have $\mathrm{H}^k(S^{[n]}, \mathcal{O}_{S^{[n]}}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$, compare [23]. Indeed, by Künneth formula $\mathrm{H}^*(S^n, \mathcal{O}_{S^n}) = \mathrm{H}^*(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^{\otimes n}$ is concentrated in degree zero. As a consequence, the structure sheaf of the n-th symmetric product has no higher cohomology, and the same then also holds for $S^{[n]}$, because the symmetric product has rational singularities.

For example, we can consider an *Enriques surface*, which is a smooth projective surface X with $p_g = q = 0$ such that the canonical bundle ω_X is of order 2.

2.2. **Canonical covers.** Let Y be a variety with torsion canonical bundle of (minimal) order k. The *canonical cover* $\tilde{Y}$ of Y is the unique (up to isomorphism) variety with trivial canonical bundle and an étale morphism $\pi\colon \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ of degree k such that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \omega_Y^i$. In this case, there is a free action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on $\tilde{Y}$ such that π is the quotient morphism.

As an example, the canonical cover of an Enriques surface X is a K3 surface $\tilde{X}$ and X is the quotient of $\tilde{X}$ by the action of a fixed point free involution.

Furthermore, the canonical bundle of $X^{[n]}$ has order 2 and the associated canonical cover, denoted here by CY_n, is a Calabi–Yau variety; see [22, Prop. 1.6] or [23, Thm. 3.1].

2.3. **Fourier–Mukai transforms and kernels.** Recall that given an object $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathrm{D^b}(Z \times Z')$, where Z and Z' are smooth and projective, we get an exact functor $\mathrm{D^b}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(Z')$, $\alpha \longmapsto (p_{Z'})_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_Z^*\alpha)$. Such a functor, denoted by $\mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{E}}$, is called a *Fourier–Mukai transform* (or FM-transform) and $\mathcal{E}$ is its kernel. See [16] for a concise introduction to FM-transforms. For example, $\mathrm{FM}_{\Delta_*\mathcal{L}}(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where $\Delta\colon Z \longrightarrow Z \times Z$ for the diagonal map and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$. In particular, $\mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta}$ is the identity functor.

Convention. We will write $\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for the functor $\mathrm{FM}_{\Delta_*\mathcal{L}}$.

Let S be any smooth projective surface, $\mathcal{Z}_n \subset S \times S^{[n]}$ be the universal family and consider its structure sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S \times S^{[n]}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the objects from the above sequence as kernels, we get a triangle $F \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow F''$ of functors $\mathrm{D^b}(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(S^{[n]})$. Since all these functors are FM-transforms, they have left and right adjoints; see [16, Prop. 5.9].

2.4. **Equivalences of canonical covers.** The relation between autoequivalences of a variety Y with torsion canonical bundle and those of the canonical cover $\tilde{Y}$ was studied in [10]. We recall some facts in the special case where the order of ω_Y is 2.

An autoequivalence $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\mathrm{D^b}(\tilde{Y})$ is *equivariant* under the conjugation action of $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(\tilde{Y}))$ if there is a group automorphism $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $g_*\tilde{\varphi} \simeq \tilde{\varphi}\mu(g)_*$ for all $g \in G$. Of course, in our case, $\mu = \mathrm{id}$.

By [10, Sect. 4], an equivariant functor $\tilde{\varphi}$ descends to a functor $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(Y))$ with functor isomorphisms $\pi_*\tilde{\varphi} \simeq \varphi\pi_*$ and $\pi^*\varphi \simeq \tilde{\varphi}\pi^*$; moreover, two descents φ, φ' of $\tilde{\varphi}$ are unique up to a line bundle twist with a power of ω_Y.

In the other direction, it is also shown in [10, Sect. 4] that every autoequivalence of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(Y))$ has an equivariant lift. Two lifts differ up to the action of G in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(\tilde{Y}))$.

2.5. **Spherical functors.** Now consider two triangulated categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and any exact functor $F\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with left and right adjoints $F^L, F^R\colon \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Define the *twist* T to be the cone on the counit

$\epsilon\colon FF^R \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of the adjunction and the *cotwist* C to be the cone on the unit $\eta\colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow F^RF$.

Remark 2.1. Of course, one needs to make sure that the above cones actually exist. If one works with Fourier–Mukai-transforms, this is not a problem, because the maps between the functors come from the underlying kernels and everything works out, even for (reasonable) schemes which are not necessarily smooth and projective; see [3]. More generally, everything works out if one uses an appropriate notion of a spherical DG-functor; see [4].

So, as we will see, in the cases of interest to us, we have triangles $FF^R \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow T$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow F^RF \longrightarrow C$. Following [4], we call F *spherical* if C is an equivalence and $F^R \simeq CF^L$. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ admit Serre functors $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$, the last condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}FC \simeq F\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$. If F is a spherical functor, then T is an equivalence. If the triangle $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow F^RF \longrightarrow C$ splits, we call F *split spherical*.

For an example of a (split) spherical functor consider a d-dimensional variety Z and a *spherical object* $E \in \mathrm{D^b}(Z)$, that is, $E \otimes \omega_Z \simeq E$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^*(E,E) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[-d]$. The functor

$$F = - \otimes E\colon \mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(Z)$$

is then spherical and the associated autoequivalence of $\mathrm{D^b}(Z)$ is the spherical twist from the introduction, denoted by ST_E in the following.

2.6. **$\mathbb{P}^n$-functors.** Following [1], a *$\mathbb{P}^n$-functor* is a functor $F\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of triangulated categories such that

(1) There is an autoequivalence $H_F = H$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that
$$F^RF \simeq \mathrm{id} \oplus H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n.$$

(2) The map
$$HF^RF \hookrightarrow F^RFF^RF \xrightarrow{F^R\epsilon F} F^RF,$$
with ϵ being the counit of the adjunction is, when written in the components
$$H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n \oplus H^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \oplus H \oplus H^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H^n,$$
of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 1 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & * \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

(3) $F^R \simeq H^n F^L$. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have Serre functors, this is equivalent to $S_{\mathcal{B}} F H^n \simeq F S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

If F is a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor, then there is also an associated autoequivalence of $\mathcal{B}$, denoted by $P_F = P$. A $\mathbb{P}^1$-functor is precisely a split spherical functor and for the associated equivalences we have $T^2 \simeq P$. If $\tilde{X}$ is a K3 surface, the functor $F = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}}$ defined in Subsection 2.3 is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor; see [1].

2.7. **Values of autoequivalences.** Let Z, Z' be smooth projective varieties. Recall that an exact functor $F\colon \mathrm{D^b}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(Z')$ is said to have *cohomological amplitude* $[a, b]$ if for every complex $E \in \mathrm{D^b}(Z)$ whose cohomology is concentrated in degrees between p and q, the cohomology of $F(E)$ is concentrated in degrees between $p - a$ and $q + b$.

We will need the following slight generalisation of a Proposition in [1, Sect. 1.4]. The case that $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \mathrm{id}$ could be generally useful when comparing twists along spherical and $\mathbb{P}^n$-functors F whose cotwist is not simply a shift but a standard autoequivalence.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(Z'))$ and $F\colon \mathrm{D^b}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(Z')$ be a Fourier–Mukai transform. Furthermore, let $\Psi \in \mathrm{Pic}(Z) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(Z) \subset \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathrm{D^b}(Z))$ be a non-shifted standard autoequivalence and let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \{\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{M}_{\omega_{Z'}}\} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D^b}(Z'))$. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{D^b}(Z')$ is such that $T(\alpha) \simeq \Phi_1(\alpha)[i]$ and there is an isomorphism of functors $TF \simeq \Phi_2 F \Psi[j]$ with $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $F(\beta) \in \alpha^\perp$ and $\alpha \in F(\beta)^\perp$ for every $\beta \in \mathrm{D^b}(Z)$.*

Proof. We have $T^m(\alpha) \simeq \Phi_1^m(\alpha)[mi]$ and $T^m F(\beta) \simeq \Phi_2^m F \Psi^m(\beta)[mj]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, since M_{ω_Z} commutes with every autoequivalence. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}\big(\alpha, F(\beta)[k]\big) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}\big(T^m(\alpha), T^m F(\beta)[k]\big)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}\big(\Phi_1^m(\alpha)[mi], \Phi_2^m F \Psi^m(\beta)[mj + k]\big)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}\big(\Psi^{-m} F^L \Phi_2^{-m} \Phi_1^m(\alpha), \beta[(j - i)m + k]\big).\end{aligned}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This vanishes for $m \gg 0$ since Φ_1, Φ_2, and Ψ have cohomological amplitude $[0, 0]$ and F^L has finite cohomological amplitude by [19, Prop. 2.5]. The proof that $\alpha \in F(\beta)^\perp$ is analogous. □

2.8. **Semi-orthogonal decompositions.** References for the following facts are, for example, [6] and [7].

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. A *semi-orthogonal decomposition* of $\mathcal{T}$ is a sequence of strictly full triangulated subcategories $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_m$ such that (a) if $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$, then $\mathrm{Hom}(A_i, A_j[l]) = 0$ for $i > j$ and all l, and (b) the $\mathcal{A}_i$ generate $\mathcal{T}$, that is, the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing all the $\mathcal{A}_i$ is already $\mathcal{T}$. We write $\mathcal{T} =$

$\langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \rangle$. If $m = 2$, these conditions boil down to the existence of a functorial exact triangle $A_2 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow A_1$ for any object $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

A subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is *right admissible* if the embedding functor i has a right adjoint i^R, and it is called *left admissible* if i has a left adjoint i^L. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *admissible* if both adjoints exist. Note that if $\mathcal{T}$ admits a Serre functor, then the existence of one of the adjoints implies the existence of the other.

Given any subcategory $\mathcal{A}$, the category $\mathcal{A}^\perp$ consists of objects b such that $\mathrm{Hom}(a, b[k]) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is right admissible, then $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}^\perp, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition. Similarly, $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}, {}^\perp\mathcal{A} \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition if $\mathcal{A}$ is left admissible, where ${}^\perp\mathcal{A}$ is defined in the obvious way.

Examples typically arise from so-called exceptional objects. Recall that an object $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ (or any $\mathbb{C}$-linear triangulated category) is called *exceptional* if $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E[k]) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$. The smallest triangulated subcategory containing E is then equivalent to $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))$ and this category, by abuse of notation again denoted by E, is admissible, leading to a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) = \langle E^\perp, E \rangle$. We call a sequence of exceptional objects $E_1, \dots, E_n$ an *exceptional collection* if $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) = \langle (E_1, \dots, E_n)^\perp, E_1, \dots, E_n \rangle$, where $(E_1, \dots, E_n)^\perp$ is the category of objects F which satisfy $\mathrm{Hom}(E_i, F[k]) = 0$ for all i, k. The collection is called *full* if $(E_1 \dots, E_n)^\perp = 0$.

Note that any fully faithful FM-transform $i \colon \mathcal{A} = \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z') \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ gives a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) = \langle i(\mathcal{A})^\perp, i(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$.

We will need the following well-known and easy fact.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\mathcal{T}$ has a Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is an admissible subcategory, then $\mathcal{A}$ has a Serre functor $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A} \simeq i^R \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T} i$.*

Proof. Given $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$, we compute $\mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(a, a') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{T}(i(a), i(a')) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{T}(i(a'), \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T} i(a))^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(a', i^R \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T} i(a))^\vee$. □

Remark 2.4. Assume $\mathcal{A}$ is an admissible subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$. The embedding functor i lifts to DG-enhancements (see, for example, [20] for this notion). It can be checked that the adjoints i^R and i^L also lift to so-called DG quasi-functors, which follows, for example, from [20, Lem. 4.4 and Prop. 4.10]. So the composition of i with any FM-transform will lift to the DG-level, hence we are in a position to use the results of [4] and all required cones of natural transformations will exist.

2.9. **Group actions and derived categories.** Let G be a finite group acting on a smooth projective variety Z. The *equivariant derived category*, denoted by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(Z)$, is defined as $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{Coh}^G(Z))$, see,

for example, [24] for details. Recall that for every subgroup $H \subset G$ the restriction functor $\mathrm{Res}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_H(Z)$ has the inflation functor $\mathrm{Inf}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_H(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(Z)$ as a left and right adjoint (see e.g. [24, Sect. 1.4]). It is given for $A \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ by

$$\mathrm{Inf}(A) = \bigoplus_{[g]\in H\backslash G} g^*A \tag{2.2}$$

with the linearisation given by permutation of the summands.

If G acts trivially on Z, there is also the functor $\mathrm{triv}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(Z)$ which equips an object with the trivial G-linearisation. Its left and right adjoint is the functor $(-)^G\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ of invariants.

Convention. When working with Fourier–Mukai transforms, we will frequently identify the functor with its kernel.

3. Proofs of the main results

3.1. **Surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$.** Recall the FM-transforms from Subsection 2.3. To compute RF in the examples known so far, one usually works out the various compositions such as, for example, $R''F'$. This can be done rather quickly in certain situations:

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be a surface with $p_g = q = 0$. Then the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} R''F' &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{S\times S},\\ R'F' &\simeq (\mathcal{O}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)[2],\\ R''F'' &\simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S\times S},\\ R'F'' &\simeq (\mathcal{O}_S \boxtimes \omega_S)[2]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows immediately from the results in Section 6 of [21] by using $\mathrm{H}^*(S^{[n]}, \mathcal{O}_{S^{[n]}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. □

Lemma 3.2. *We have $R'F \simeq 0$ and $R''F \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-1]$.*

Proof. The map $F' \longrightarrow F''$ induces an isomorphism $R'F' \longrightarrow R'F''$ and the component $\mathcal{O}_{S\times S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S\times S}$ of the induced map $R''F' \longrightarrow R'F''$ is an isomorphism too; see [21, Sect. 6] or Section 5. Hence, the first assertion follows from the triangle $R'F \longrightarrow R'F' \longrightarrow R'F''$.

We then consider the triangle $R''F \longrightarrow R''F' \longrightarrow R''F''$ and check that the cokernel of the map $R''F' \longrightarrow R''F''$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$. Indeed, if $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\colon A \longrightarrow A \oplus B$ is a map in an abelian category such that the first component is an isomorphism (so φ is an injection), the cokernel has to be isomorphic to B. To see this, embed the situation into the abelian category of modules over some ring using the Freyd–Mitchell

theorem and define $c\colon A\oplus B\longrightarrow B$ by $(a,b)\longmapsto b-\varphi_2(\varphi_1^{-1}(a))$. It is clear that $c\circ\varphi=0$. Now given a morphism $f\colon A\oplus B\longrightarrow C$ such that $f\circ\varphi=0$, define $g\colon B\longrightarrow C$ by $b\longmapsto f(0,b)$. It is then straightforward to check that $g\circ c=f$. □

Proposition 3.3. *The composition RF is isomorphic to the identity.*

Proof. Use the triangle $R''F\longrightarrow R'F\longrightarrow RF$ and the above lemma. □

We are now ready to show our first main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $RF\simeq\mathrm{id}$, F is fully faithful. On the other hand, F has adjoints, so $F(\mathrm{D^b}(S))$ is an admissible subcategory of $\mathrm{D^b}(S^{[n]})$. □

Remark 3.4. The above shows that for any surface with $p_g=q=0$, the functor F is quite far from being a spherical or a $\mathbb{P}^n$-functor.

Remark 3.5. There exist surfaces S of general type with $p_g=q=0$ such that $\mathrm{D^b}(S)$ contains an admissible subcategory whose Hochschild homology is trivial and whose Grothendieck group is finite or torsion, see, for example, [5]. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, $\mathrm{D^b}(S^{[n]})$ also contains such a (quasi-)phantom category.

3.2. **Canonical bundles of order two and spherical functors.** Consider a d-dimensional variety Y whose canonical bundle is of order 2 and its canonical cover $\pi\colon\tilde{Y}\longrightarrow Y$ with deck transformation $\tau\colon\tilde{Y}\longrightarrow\tilde{Y}$.

Lemma 3.6. *The functor $\pi^*\colon\mathrm{D^b}(Y)\longrightarrow\mathrm{D^b}(\tilde{Y})$ is split spherical with cotwist $C=(-)\otimes\omega_Y$ and twist $T_{\pi^*}=\tau^*[1]$.*

Proof. This follows from the identities $\pi_*\pi^*\simeq(-)\otimes(\mathcal{O}_Y\oplus\omega_Y)$, $\pi^*\omega_Y\simeq\omega_{\tilde{Y}}$, and $\pi^*\pi_*\simeq\mathrm{id}\oplus\tau^*$. □

Proposition 3.7. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is an admissible subcategory of $\mathrm{D^b}(Y)$ with embedding functor i, then the functor $\pi^*i\colon\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathrm{D^b}(\tilde{Y})$ is split spherical. The associated twist $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}}:=T_{\pi^*i}$ is equivariant.*

Proof. That π^*i is split spherical follows by the previous lemma together with Lemma 2.3; compare [1, Prop. on p.7].

To see that $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivariant, we note that $\pi^*ii^R\pi_*\tau_*\simeq\pi^*ii^R\pi_*\simeq\tau_*\pi^*ii^R\pi_*$, so $\tau_*\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}}\simeq\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}}\tau_*$, since both are a cone of $\pi^*ii^R\pi_*\longrightarrow\tau_*$. □

Example 3.8. If $\mathcal{A}\simeq\mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))$ is the category generated by an exceptional object E, then the twist associated to π^*i is the spherical twist ST_A, where $A\simeq\pi^*i(E)$ is a spherical object by [28, Prop. 3.13].

Remark 3.9. Let $\mathrm{D^b}(Y)=\langle\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\rangle$ be a semi-orthogonal decomposition. By [2, Thm. 11] we have $\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\simeq\tau^*[1]$.

Proposition 3.10. *If* $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_Y \rangle^\perp \neq 0$ *and* $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(Z)$ *for some smooth projective variety with* $\dim Z \leq d-2$*, then* $\tilde{T}_\mathcal{A}$ *and its descents are non-standard equivalences of* $\mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(\tilde{Y})$ *and* $\mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(Y)$*, respectively.*

Proof. One of the two descents of $\tilde{T}_\mathcal{A}$ is

$$T_\mathcal{A} := \mathrm{cone}(ii^R \oplus \mathrm{M}_{\omega_Y} ii^R \mathrm{M}_{\omega_Y} \xrightarrow{c} \mathrm{id}) \tag{3.1}$$

where the components of c are given by the counits of the adjunctions. We also get

$$T_\mathcal{A} i \simeq \mathrm{M}_{\omega_Y} i \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}[-d+1]\,, \quad T_\mathcal{A} \mathrm{M}_{\omega_Y} i \simeq i S_\mathcal{A}[-d+1] \tag{3.2}$$

by Lemma 2.3. In particular, for every M_{ω_Z}-invariant object $\alpha \in \mathrm{D}^b(Z)$, for example a skyscraper sheaf, $T_\mathcal{A} i(\alpha) = \alpha[\dim Z - d + 1]$. Furthermore, $T_\mathcal{A}$ acts as the identity on $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_Y \rangle^\perp$. Thus, $T_\mathcal{A}$ has cohomological amplitude at least $[\dim Z - d + 1, 0]$. In contrast, the cohomological amplitude of a standard autoequivalence is of the form $[c, c]$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Remark 3.11. By the previous proof we have a description of the restriction of $T_\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_Y \cup \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_Y \rangle^\perp$. Note that is a spanning class (see [16, Sect. 1.3] for details regarding this notion). Indeed, if $\mathrm{Hom}(\beta, \gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$, then, in particular, $\mathrm{Hom}(\beta, \alpha) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}(\beta, \alpha \otimes \omega_Y)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. By Serre duality, $\beta \in \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_Y \rangle^\perp \subset \mathcal{C}$, hence $\beta \simeq 0$. Similarly, one proves that $\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma, \beta) = 0$ implies that $\beta \simeq 0$.

Remark 3.12. As in the case of spherical or $\mathbb{P}^n$-twists (see [18, Lem. 2.3]), we have for any $\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(Y))$ the relation $\Psi T_\mathcal{A} \simeq T_{\Psi(\mathcal{A})} \Psi$. For this one uses the cone description of $T_\mathcal{A}$ given by Equation (3.1) and the fact that the embedding functor of $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ is Ψi.

Remark 3.13. More generally, π^* is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor if Y has torsion canonical bundle of order $n \geq 2$. But the analogue of Proposition 3.7 does not hold for $n \geq 3$, that is, in general, for a fully faithful admissible embedding $i\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(Y)$ the composition $\pi^* i$ is not a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor. The reason is that Lemma 2.3 does not generalise to powers of the Serre functor, that is, in general, $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}^k \not\simeq i^R \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T}^k i$ for $k \geq 2$.

3.3. **Application to Enriques surfaces.** In the following we want to apply Proposition 3.7 to the Hibert scheme of points on an Enriques surface and investigate the relation of the associated twist with some known autoequivalences. For this we first need the following statement.

Lemma 3.14. *Let* S *be any surface and let* $\xi \in S^{[n]}$ *be a point representing* n *pairwise distinct points on* S*. Then* $FR(k(\xi))$ *has rank* $\chi - 2n$ *where* $\chi := \chi(\omega_S) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Proof. We will follow the computations in [18, Lem. 5.7]. So let $\xi \in S^{[n]}$ correspond to n pairwise distinct points and write $\alpha = k(\xi)$. Recall that F' has kernel $\mathcal{O}_{S\times S^{[n]}}$ and F'' has kernel $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}$. The kernels of the right adjoints R' and R'' are then given by $p_S^*\omega_S[2]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}^\vee \otimes p_S^*\omega_S[2]$, respectively. Hence, $R'(\alpha) = \omega_S[2]$ and $R''(\alpha) \simeq \mathcal{O}_\xi \otimes \omega_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_\xi$, since outside the locus of points representing non-reduced subschemes of S $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}^\vee$ is a line bundle shifted into degree 2. We have $F' = \mathrm{H}^*(-)\otimes\mathcal{O}_S$. It follows that $\operatorname{rk} F'(\omega_S) = \chi$ and $\operatorname{rk} F'(\mathcal{O}_\xi) = n$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_n$ is flat and finite of degree n over $S^{[n]}$, we have $\operatorname{rk} F''(\omega_S) = n$ and $\operatorname{rk} F''(\mathcal{O}_\xi) = 0$. Using that the rank is compatible with exact triangles, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{rk} FR(\alpha) &= \operatorname{rk} F'R'(\alpha) - \operatorname{rk} F'R''(\alpha) - \operatorname{rk} F''R'(\alpha) + \operatorname{rk} F''R''(\alpha)\\ &= \chi - n - n + 0 = \chi - 2n.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.15. *Let S be a surface with $p_g = q = 0$. Then the support of $FR(k(\xi))$ is $S^{[n]}$.* □

Theorem 3.16. *Let X be an Enriques surface, $F\colon \mathrm{D^b}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D^b}(X^{[n]})$ the FM-transform induced by the ideal sheaf of the universal family, CY_n the canonical cover of $X^{[n]}$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathrm{D^b}(X)$ an admissible subcategory with embedding functor i. Then π^*Fi is a split spherical functor whose induced twist is equivariant for all $n \geq 2$.*

If $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{D^b}(X)$, then the twist $\widetilde{T} = \tilde{T}_{\mathrm{D^b}(X)}$ associated to $\widetilde{F} = \pi^*F$ is a non standard autoequivalence of CY_n. Furthermore, it is not a spherical twist.

Proof. The first part is just an application of Proposition 3.7 together with Theorem 1.2, so let us investigate $\tilde{T}$.

By Corollary 3.15, $FR(k(\xi))$ is supported on $X^{[n]}$, hence the same holds for the image under $\tilde{F}\tilde{R}$ of a skyscraper sheaf of a point on CY_n mapping to ξ. Using the triangle defining $\tilde{T}$ and the fact that for any triangle $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta \longrightarrow \gamma$ in $\mathrm{D^b}(Z)$, we have $\operatorname{Supp}(\alpha) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\beta)\cup\operatorname{Supp}(\gamma)$, we conclude that $\tilde{T}$ does not respect the dimension of the support. Therefore, $\tilde{T}$ cannot be a standard autoequivalence.

Now, if A is a spherical object in CY_n, then the spherical twist ST_A acts as identity on $A^\perp$ and $\mathrm{ST}_A(A) \simeq A[1-2n]$. The discussion on p. 9 in [1] shows that $\widetilde{T}\widetilde{F}(\alpha) \simeq \widetilde{F}C[1] \simeq \widetilde{F}\mathrm{M}_{\omega_X}[3-2n]$. If $\widetilde{T}$ were isomorphic to ST_A for some A, then, by Proposition 2.2, $\widetilde{F}(\alpha)$ would have to be orthogonal to the spanning class $A\cup A^\perp$ (see [16, Prop. 8.6] for details about this spanning class), hence zero for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{D^b}(X)$. But clearly $\widetilde{F}$ is not trivial, a contradiction. □

Remark 3.17. The above shows that there are objects which $\tilde{T}$ shifts by $[3-2n]$. On the other hand, we know that $\tilde{T}$ acts as identity on $\ker \widetilde{R}$. However, finding a non-trivial element in $\ker \widetilde{R}$ seems to be difficult.

Remark 3.18. The functor $\widehat{F}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$ defined as $F\pi_{X*}$ is not spherical. Note that $\pi_X^! = \pi_X^*$ in this case, so $\widehat{R}\widehat{F} \simeq \pi_X^*\pi_{X*} \simeq \mathrm{id} \oplus \tau_X^*$ and $C \simeq \tau_X^*$ is an autoequivalence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X})$. But the condition $\widehat{F}\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{X^{[n]}}\widehat{F}C$ is not satisfied. Indeed, $\widehat{F}\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha) \simeq F(\pi_*\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{X^{[n]}}\widehat{F}C$ are non isomorphic objects for $\alpha \in \mathrm{D}^b(\tilde{X})$ since their non-vanishing cohomologies lie in different degrees.

Furthermore, the functor $\overline{F}\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$ defined as $\pi^*F\pi_{X*}$ is also not spherical. Indeed, $\overline{R} = \pi_X^* R\pi_*$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R}\,\overline{F} &\simeq \pi_X^*\tilde{R}\tilde{F}\pi_{X*} \simeq \pi_X^*\pi_{X*} \oplus \pi_X^*\pi_{X*}[2-2n] \\ &\simeq \mathrm{id} \oplus \tau_X^* \oplus [2-2n] \oplus \tau_X^*[2-2n].\end{aligned}$$

We can say something about the autoequivalences on $X^{[n]}$ the twist $\tilde{T}$ descends to.

Proposition 3.19. *Let $T' \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$ be a descent of $\tilde{T}$. Then T' is not contained in the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$ generated by $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$ and the equivalence P arising from the $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor constructed in* [18].

Proof. The twist P is rank-preserving since all the objects in the image of the corresponding $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor are supported on a proper subset of $X^{[n]}$; see [18, Rem. 4.7]. The same holds for every standard autoequivalence (up to the sign -1 occurring for odd shifts). But by Lemma 3.14 and Equation (3.1) we have $\mathrm{rk}(T(k(\xi)) = 4n-2$, where $T = T_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)}$. Since the other descent is given by $\mathrm{M}_{\omega_{X^{[n]}}}T$, the claim follows. □

Lemma 3.20. *There is no $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$ such that a descent T' of $\tilde{T}$ satisfies $T'(\alpha) = \alpha[\ell]$ or $T'(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}}[\ell]$ for $\ell \notin \{0, 3-2n\}$. There is also no $0 \neq \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$ such that $\tilde{T}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}[\ell]$ for $\ell \notin \{0, 3-2n\}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the descent T described in Proposition 3.10. Indeed, the other descent is given by $\mathrm{M}_{\omega_{X^{[n]}}}T$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{D}^b(X^{[n]})$ with $T(\alpha) = \alpha[\ell]$, $\ell \notin \{0, 3-2n\}$. By Equation (3.2) and Proposition 2.2 (here we use that $l \neq 3-2n$) we get that $\alpha \in F(\beta)^{\perp}$ and $F(\beta) \in \alpha^{\perp}$ for all $\beta \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)$. Hence $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ and $\alpha \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} = F(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X))$. By Serre duality also $\alpha \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}})^{\perp}$ and ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}})$.

The object α is also orthogonal to $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}} \rangle^{\perp}$ on which T acts trivially. To see this, use again Proposition 2.2, this time with F being the embedding of the subcategory $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}} \rangle^{\perp}$.

Therefore, α is orthogonal to the spanning class $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}} \cup \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}} \rangle^{\perp}$, hence zero. The proof in the case that $T(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \omega_{X^{[n]}}[\ell]$ is similar and the statement about $\tilde{\alpha}$ follows by applying π_*. □

Next, we want to compare our equivalences to the ones constructed in [25]. For this we need to recall some facts which will also be useful in the next section.

Let Z be a smooth projective variety and $n \geq 2$. We consider the cartesian power Z^n equipped with the natural $\mathfrak{S}_n$-action given by permuting the factors.

For $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ an exceptional object, the box product $E^{\boxtimes n}$ is again exceptional, since by Künneth formula

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)}(E^{\boxtimes n}, E^{\boxtimes n}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^*_{X^n}(E^{\boxtimes n}, E^{\boxtimes n})^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \simeq S^n \mathrm{Ext}^*_X(E, E) \simeq \mathbb{C}[0].$$

More generally, for ρ an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, the object $E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho$ is exceptional and the objects obtained this way are pairwise orthogonal, i.e. $\mathrm{Ext}^*(E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho, E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho') = 0$ for $\rho \neq \rho'$.

The case of biggest interest is if Z is a surface. Then there is the Bridgeland–King–Reid–Haiman equivalence (see [9] and [13])

$$\Phi \colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z^{[n]}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n).$$

In particluar, when $Z = X$ is an Enriques surface, we get further induced autoequivalences of $X^{[n]}$ and its cover CY_n using Proposition 3.7.

Let now $Z = X$ be an Enriques surface and assume that there exists an object $0 \neq F \in \langle E, E \otimes \omega_X \rangle^{\perp}$. This is equivalent to $\tilde{E}^{\perp}$ being non-trivial, where $\tilde{E} := \pi^* E$ is the corresponding spherical object on the K3 cover of X. In this case $F^{\boxtimes n}$ is orthogonal to every $E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho$ and $\omega_{X^n} \otimes E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho$. Thus, by Proposition 3.10, all the associated twists $T_\rho := T_{E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$ and $\tilde{T}_\rho := \tilde{T}_{E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n))$ are non-standard.

In our situation there is also another induced non-standard autoequivalence, namely $\widetilde{T_E^{\boxtimes n}} \colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$; see [25]. It is given as a lift of $T_E^{\boxtimes n} = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{P}^{\boxtimes n}} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$, where $\mathcal{P} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X \times X)$ denotes the Fourier–Mukai kernel of T_E; see [24].

Recall that the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ are in bijection to the set $P(n)$ of partitions of n. For an exceptional

object $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ we set

$$G_E := \langle [1], T_E^{\boxtimes n}, T_\rho : \rho \in P(n) \rangle \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})),$$
$$\tilde{G}_E := \langle [1], \widetilde{T_E^{\boxtimes n}}, \tilde{T}_\rho : \rho \in P(n) \rangle \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)).$$

Proposition 3.21. *Assume that $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ is exceptional and $0 \neq F \in \langle E, E \otimes \omega_X \rangle^{\perp}$. We have $G_E \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{p(n)} \simeq \tilde{G}_E$, where $p(n) = |P(n)|$. Furthermore, $T' \notin G_E$ and $\tilde{T} \notin \tilde{G}_E$, where T' is a descent of $\tilde{T}$.*

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq n$ we consider the objects

$$E^k \cdot F^{n-k} := \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}_{\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-k}}(E^{\boxtimes k} \boxtimes E^{\boxtimes n}) \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(X^n)\,.$$

We have $T_E(E) = E[-1]$ and $T_E(F) = F$. It follows that

$$T_E^{\boxtimes n} \colon E^k \cdot F^{n-k} \longmapsto E^k \cdot F^{n-k}[-k]\,, \quad E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho \longmapsto E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho[-n]. \tag{3.3}$$

By Remark 3.12, the latter shows that $T_E^{\boxtimes n}$ commutes with all the T_ρ. Note that for $k < n$ and $\rho \not\simeq \rho'$ we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*(E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho, E^k \cdot F^{n-k}) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^*(E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho, E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho')\,.$$

Thus, by Equations (3.1) and (3.2), and Remark 3.12

$$T_\rho \colon E^k \cdot F^{n-k} \longmapsto E^k \cdot F^{n-k} \text{ for } k < n\,, \tag{3.4}$$
$$T_\rho \colon E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho' \longmapsto \begin{cases} E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho' & \text{if } \rho \neq \rho' \\ \omega_{X^n} \otimes E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho'[-(2n-1)] & \text{if } \rho = \rho' \end{cases}$$

which, in particular, shows that the T_ρ pairwise commute.

Now consider $\Psi = (T_E^{\boxtimes n})^a \circ \prod_\rho T_\rho^{b_\rho}[c] \in G_E$ and assume that $\Psi \simeq \mathrm{id}$. We have $\Psi(F^{\boxtimes n}) = F^{\boxtimes n}[c]$ and thus $c = 0$. It follows that $\Psi(E^1 \cdot F^{n-1}) = E^1 \cdot F^{n-1}[-a]$ and thus $a = 0$. Finally, $\Psi(E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho) = \omega_{X^n} \otimes E^{\boxtimes n} \otimes \rho[-b_\rho(2n-1)]$ shows that $b_\rho = 0$ for all ρ. The assertion that $T' \notin G_E$ follows in a similar way using Lemma 3.20.

The identities (3.3) and (3.4) lift to identities in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$. Therefore, one can analogously show that $\tilde{G}_E \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{p(d)}$ and that $\tilde{T} \notin G_E$. □

Remark 3.22. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the alternating representation, i.e. the one-dimensional representation on which $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts by multiplication by sgn. By Remark 3.12 we have $T_{\mathfrak{a}} \simeq \mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ T_{E^{\boxtimes n}} \circ \mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(X^n))$ is the involution $(-) \otimes \mathfrak{a}$. Note that for higher dimensional irreducible representations ρ there is no such relation since M_ρ is not an equivalence.

4. Exceptional sequences on $X^{[n]}$

Let Z be a smooth projective variety and $n \geq 2$. In this section we will construct exceptional sequences in the equivariant derived category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$ out of exceptional sequences in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$.

Proposition 4.1 ([26, Cor. 1])**.** *Let Z and Z' be smooth projective varieties with full exceptional sequences $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ and $F_1, \ldots, F_\ell$ respectively. Then*

$$E_1 \boxtimes F_1, E_1 \boxtimes F_2, \ldots, E_1 \boxtimes F_\ell, E_2 \boxtimes F_1, \ldots, E_k \boxtimes F_\ell$$

is a full exceptional sequence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z \times Z')$.

Let now $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ be an exceptional sequence on Z. We consider for every multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in [k]^n := \{1, \ldots, k\}^n$ the object

$$E(\alpha) := E_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes E_{\alpha_n} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z^n).$$

By Proposition 4.1, these objects form a full exceptional sequence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z^n)$ when considering them with the ordering given by the lexicographical order $<_{\mathrm{lex}}$ on $[k]^n$.

Remark 4.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in [k]^n$. By the Künneth formula

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*(E(\alpha), E(\beta)) = \mathrm{Ext}^*(E_{\alpha_1}, E_{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^*(E_{\alpha_n}, E_{\beta_n}). \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^*(E(\alpha), E(\beta)) = 0$, whenever $\alpha_i > \beta_i$ for an $i \in [n]$.

Theorem 4.3 ([11])**.** *Let G be a finite group acting on a variety M. Consider a (full) exceptional sequence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(M)$ of the form*

$$E_1^{(1)}, \ldots, E_{k_1}^{(1)}, E_1^{(2)}, \ldots, E_{k_2}^{(2)}, \ldots, E_1^{(\ell)}, \ldots, E_{k_\ell}^{(\ell)}$$

such that G acts transitively on every block $E_1^{(i)}, \ldots, E_{k_i}^{(i)}$, i.e. for every $i \in [\ell]$ and every pair $a, b \in [k_i]$ there is a $g \in G$ such that $g^* E_a^{(i)} \simeq E_b^{(i)}$ (and conversely for every $g \in G$ and every $a \in [k_i]$ there is a $b \in [k_i]$ such that $g^* E_a^{(i)} \simeq g^* E_b^{(i)}$). Let $H_i := \mathrm{Stab}_G(E_1^{(i)})$ and assume that $E_1^{(i)}$ carries an H_i-linearisation, i.e. there exists an $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{H_i}(M)$ such that $\mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{E}^{(i)}) = E_1^{(i)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Inf}^G_{H_1}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \otimes V_1^{(1)}), \ldots, \mathrm{Inf}^G_{H_1}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)} \otimes V_{m_1}^{(1)}), \ldots, \\ &\mathrm{Inf}^G_{H_\ell}(\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)} \otimes V_1^{(\ell)}), \ldots, \mathrm{Inf}^G_{H_\ell}(\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)} \otimes V_{m_\ell}^{(\ell)}) \end{aligned}$$

is a (full) exceptional sequence of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_G(M)$ with $V_1^{(i)}, \ldots, V_{m_i}^{(i)}$ being all the irreducible representations of H_i.

Proof. In [11] the Theorem is only stated in the case of full exceptional sequences. But one can easily infer from the proof that non-full exceptional sequences also induce non-full exceptional sequences. □

In order to apply Theorem 4.3 we have to reorder the sequence consisting of the $E(\alpha)$ as follows. For a multi-index $\alpha \in [k]^n$ we denote the unique non-decreasing representative of its $\mathfrak{S}_n$-orbit by $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha)$. Then we define a total order $\lhd$ of $[k]^n$ by

$$\alpha \lhd \beta :\Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathrm{nd}(\alpha) <_{\mathrm{lex}} \mathrm{nd}(\beta) \quad \text{or} \\ \mathrm{nd}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nd}(\beta) \text{ and } \alpha <_{\mathrm{lex}} \beta \end{cases}$$

Now the group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts transitively on the blocks consisting of all $E(\alpha)$ with fixed $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha)$ because of $\sigma^* E(\alpha) \simeq E(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \alpha)$. Furthermore, every $E(\alpha)$ has a canonical $\mathrm{Stab}(\alpha)$-linearisation given by permutation of the factors in the box product. It remains to show that $(E(\alpha))_\alpha$ with the ordering given by $\lhd$ is still an exceptional sequence. This follows by Remark 4.2 and the last item of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in [k]^n$.*

1. *Let $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nd}(\beta)$ but $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then there exists an $i \in [n]$ such that $\alpha_i < \beta_i$.*
2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then there exists an $i \in [n]$ such that $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ if and only if there exists a $j \in [n]$ such that $(\sigma \cdot \alpha)_j < (\sigma \cdot \beta)_j$.*
3. *If $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha) <_{\mathrm{lex}} \mathrm{nd}(\beta)$, then there exists an $i \in [n]$ such that $\alpha_i < \beta_i$.*
4. *Let $\alpha \lhd \beta$. Then there exists an $i \in [n]$ such that $\alpha_i < \beta_i$.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha) = \mathrm{nd}(\beta)$, we have $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$. This shows (1). By setting $j = \sigma(i)$ we obtain (2). In order to show (3) we may now assume using (2) that $\alpha = \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be such that $\beta = \sigma \cdot \mathrm{nd}(\beta)$ and let $m := \min\{\ell \in [n] \mid \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_\ell \neq \mathrm{nd}(\beta)_\ell\}$. Then $\mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_m < \mathrm{nd}(\beta)_m$. If $\sigma(m) \leq m$, we have $\alpha_{\sigma(m)} = \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_{\sigma(m)} \leq \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_m < \mathrm{nd}(\beta)_m = \beta_{\sigma(m)}$. If $\sigma(m) > m$, there exists $\ell > m$ such that $\sigma(\ell) \leq m$. This yields

$$\alpha_{\sigma(\ell)} = \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_{\sigma(\ell)} \leq \mathrm{nd}(\alpha)_m < \mathrm{nd}(\beta)_m \leq \mathrm{nd}(\beta)_\ell = \beta_{\sigma(\ell)}.$$

Finally, (4) follows from (1) and (3). □

We summarize all of the above in the following

Proposition 4.5. *If $\alpha \in [k]^n$ is a non-decreasing multi-index and $V_i^{(\alpha)}$ is an irreducible representation of $H_\alpha = \mathrm{Stab}(\alpha)$, then the collection*

of objects $\mathcal{E}(\alpha, V_i^{(\alpha)}) := \mathrm{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}\left(E(\alpha)\otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}\right)$ *forms an exceptional sequence of* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$. *The induced sequence is full if and only if the original sequence on* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ *is full.* □

Remark 4.6. An exceptional sequence is called *strong* if all the higher extension groups between its members vanish. Using Equation (4.1) one can show that $(\mathcal{E}(\alpha, V_i^{(\alpha)}))_{\alpha,i}$ is strong if and only if $(E_\ell)_\ell$ is strong. Thus, in the case that the full exceptional sequence $E_1, \ldots, E_k$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ is strong, there is an equivalence of triangulated categories $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n) \simeq \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{Mod} - \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}))$ where $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{\alpha,i} \mathcal{E}(\alpha, V_i^{(\alpha)})$; see [6].

Remark 4.7. Using [12] one can also construct semi-orthogonal decompositions of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$ out of general semi-orthogonal decompositions of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ in a similar way. Furthermore, if $T \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ is a tilting object, so is $\oplus_{\rho\in P(n)}(T^{\boxtimes n}\otimes\rho) \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$.

Remark 4.8. Any Enriques surface has a completely orthogonal exceptional sequence $(E_i)_i$ of length 10; see [29]. The induced exceptional sequence in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(X^n) \simeq \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]})$ is again completely orthogonal and the same holds for the corresponding sequence of spherical objects on CY_n. By [18, Cor. 2.4] it follows that the spherical twists give an embedding $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell(10,n)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n))$ where $\ell(10,n)$ denotes the length of the induced sequence. By arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 3.21 we also get an embedding $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell(10,n)} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(X^{[n]}))$.

Remark 4.9. Let $Z = X$ be an Enriques surface and let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) := \pi^*(\mathcal{E}(\alpha, \mathrm{triv})) \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n).$$

In the case that $\tilde{E}_1, \ldots, \tilde{E}_k$ is an A_k-sequence of spherical objects on $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\tilde{X})$, the sequence

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1,\ldots,1), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1,\ldots,2), \ldots, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(2,\ldots,2), \ldots, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(k,\ldots,k)$$

is a $A_{(n-1)k+1}$ sequence of spherical objects in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n)$. Thus, there is an embedding $B_{(n-1)k+1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{CY}_n))$ of the braid group; see [28].

5. The truncated universal ideal functor

The arguments in this section follow those of [21, Sect. 6]. The key new observation is that the functor $\hat{F} := \Phi F \colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$ for $Z = S$ a surface can be truncated to a functor G in such a way that $G^R G \simeq \hat{F}^R \hat{F}$ and that this functor generalises in a nicer way to varieties of arbitrary dimension than $\hat{F}$ does.

If $Z = S$ is a surface, then $\hat{F}'' = \Phi\,\mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^n}} = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{C}^\bullet}$, where $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ is the complex concentrated in degrees $0, \dots, n-1$ given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{|I|=2} \mathcal{O}_{D_I} \otimes \mathfrak{a}_I \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{|I|=3} \mathcal{O}_{D_I} \otimes \mathfrak{a}_I \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{[n]}} \otimes \mathfrak{a}_{[n]} \longrightarrow 0\,;$$

see [27]. For $I \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, the reduced subvariety $D_I \subset S \times S^n$ is given by $D_I = \{(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid y = x_i \,\forall\, i \in I\}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_I$ is the alternating representation of $\mathfrak{S}_I$. Furthermore, $\hat{F}' = \Phi\,\mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{S\times S^{[n]}}} \simeq \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{O}_{S\times S^n}}$ and the induced map $\hat{F}' \longrightarrow \hat{F}''$ is given by the morphism of kernels $\mathcal{O}_{S\times S^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0 = \oplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ whose components are given by restriction of sections. We set $G'' := \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{C}^0}$. As explained in [21, Sect. 6], the main steps in the computation of the formulas of [27] and [17] can be translated into the following statement

$$\hat{F}'^R \hat{F}'' \simeq \hat{F}'^R G'', \quad \hat{F}''^R F' \simeq G''^R F', \quad \hat{F}''^R \hat{F}'' \simeq G''^R G''. \tag{5.1}$$

Definition 5.1. Let Z be a smooth projective variety of arbitrary dimension d and $n \geq 2$. The *truncated universal ideal functor* $G = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}} \colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$ is the Fourier–Mukai transform whose kernel is the complex

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}^\bullet := (0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z\times Z^n} \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \longrightarrow 0) \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z \times Z^n).$$

Thus, there is the triangle of FM transforms $G \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G''$ with

$$G' := \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}'} = \hat{F}' = \mathrm{H}^*(X, -) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^n}, \quad G'' = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}''} = \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} p_n^* \,\mathrm{triv}\,.$$

For $E \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(Z)$ we have $G''(E) = \oplus_{i=1}^n p_i^* E$ (see also Subsection 2.9 for details about the inflation functor Inf and its right adjoint Res). The right-adjoints are

$$G'^R = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}'^R} = \mathrm{H}^*(Z^n, -)^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \otimes \omega_Z[d], \quad \mathcal{G}'^R = \mathcal{O}_{Z^n} \boxtimes \omega_Z[d],$$
$$G''^R = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}''^R} = [-]^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \circ p_{n*} \circ \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}, \quad \mathcal{G}''^R = \oplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{O}_{D_i}.$$

In the surface case Equation (5.1) gives the following

Lemma 5.2. *If $Z = S$ is a surface, $\hat{F}^R \hat{F} \simeq G^R G$.* □

We compute the compositions of the kernels:

$$\mathcal{G}'^R \mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \omega_Z) \otimes S^n\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)[d],$$
$$\mathcal{G}'^R \mathcal{G}'' = (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \omega_Z) \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)[d],$$
$$\mathcal{G}''^R \mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z),$$
$$\mathcal{G}''^R \mathcal{G}'' = \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes S^{n-2}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z).$$

The induced map $\mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}''$ under these isomorphisms is given by evaluation as follows. Let $(e_i)_i$ be a basis of $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z[*])$. Then the component

$$(\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \omega_Z) \cdot e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}[d] \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \omega_Z) \cdot e_{i_1} \cdots \hat{e}_{i_k} \cdots e_{i_n}[d]$$

is $e_{i_k} \boxtimes \mathrm{id}[d]$. The component

$$(\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes S^{n-2}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$$

of $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}''$ is given in the same way and the component

$$(\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes S^{n-1}\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$$

is the restriction map. The map $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}'$ is given as follows. Let $(\theta_i)_i$ be the basis of $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee$ dual to $(e_i)_i$. Furthermore, let θ_i correspond to the morphism $\tilde{\theta}_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_Z[d-*]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z[*])^\vee$ under Serre duality. Then the component

$$(\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \cdot e_{i_1} \cdots \hat{e}_{i_k} \cdots e_{i_n} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Z \boxtimes \omega_Z) \cdot e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}[d]$$

is $\tilde{\theta}_{i_k}$.

In the following we will use the commutative diagram

(5.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}'' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}'' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G}''\,.
\end{array}$$

with exact triangles as columns and rows in order to deduce formulae for $G^RG = \mathrm{FM}_{\mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G}}$.

5.1. **The case of an even dimensional Calabi–Yau variety.** If Z is a Calabi–Yau variety of even dimension d, then $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-d]$ and $S^k\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-d] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}[-dk]$. Let $u \in \mathrm{H}^d(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ be the basis vector whose dual $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z[d])^\vee$ corresponds to $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ under Serre duality. We denote the induced degree $d\ell$ basis vector of $S^k\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ by u^ℓ.

Lemma 5.3. *For a Calabi–Yau variety Z of even dimension d we have*

$$G^RG \simeq \mathrm{id} \oplus [-d] \oplus \cdots \oplus [-d(n-1)]\,.$$

Proof. By the description of the last subsection, the components $\mathcal{O}_{Z^2} \cdot u^\ell \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z^2} \cdot u^\ell$ of $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}''$ and $\mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}''$ equal the identity. By [15, Lem. 5], the top of diagram (5.2) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z^2}[-d(n-1)] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_\Delta([0] \oplus [-d] \oplus \cdots \oplus [-d(n-1)]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Z^2}[-d(n-1)] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical map is the component $\mathcal{O}_{Z^2} \cdot u^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z^2} u^n[d]$ of $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}'$. By the above description it is the identity. Now the claim follows by the octahedral axiom. □

Theorem 5.4. *If Z is a Calabi–Yau variety of even dimension, then $G\colon \mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(Z^n)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, condition (1) of the definition of a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$-functor is satisfied.

The proof that condition (2) is satisfied is analogous to the proof in the case of the non-truncated functor when X is a K3 surface. Basically, one has to go through [1, Sec. 2.5] and replace F by G, q^* by $p_n^* \circ \mathrm{triv}$ and its right adjoint q_* by $(-)^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} \circ p_{n*}$, g_* by Inf and its right adjoint $g^!$ by Res, $\mathrm{HH}^*(S^{[n]})$ by $\mathrm{HH}^*(Z^n)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$, $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_{S^{[n]}})$ by $\mathrm{H}^*(Z^n)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$, 2 by d, and the symplectic form σ by a generator of $\mathrm{H}^d(\mathcal{O}_Z)$.

Very roughly, the idea is the following: $G^R G$ is identified with the direct summand $(p_n^* \circ \mathrm{triv})^R(p_n^* \circ \mathrm{triv}) \simeq \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{H}^*(Z^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{n-1}})^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}$ of $G''^R G''$ and the monad structure of $(p_n^* \circ \mathrm{triv})^R(p_n^* \circ \mathrm{triv})$ is given by the cup product on $\mathrm{H}^*(Z^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{Z^{n-1}})^{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}$ which has the right form.

Condition (3) is easy to check since all occurring autoequivalences are simply shifts. □

5.2. **The case of an odd dimensional Calabi–Yau variety.** In this case $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-d]$ and $S^k \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-d]$ for $k \geq 1$. The reason for the vanishing of the higher degrees is that the symmetric product is taken in the graded sense.

Lemma 5.5. *For $n \geq 3$ we have $\mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta([0] \oplus [-d])$.*

Proof. The component $\mathcal{O}_{Z^2} \otimes S^{n-1} \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z^2} \otimes S^{n-2} \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ of the map $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G}''$ as well as the whole $\mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G}''$ are isomorphisms. This yields $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes S^{n-1} \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)[-1] \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta([0] \oplus [-d])[-1]$ and $\mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G} = 0$. The result now follows from the triangle $\mathcal{G}''^R\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'^R\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G}$. □

That means that the cotwist of G is an equivalence. For dimension reasons the second axiom of a spherical functor cannot hold for G.

Thus, one could call G a *sphere-like functor* in analogy with the sphere-like objects of [14].

5.3. **The case** $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0]$**.** In this case also $S^k \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[0]$ for $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 5.6. *The functor G is fully faithful, i.e. $\mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_\Delta$.*

Proof. The maps ${\mathcal{G}''}^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow {\mathcal{G}''}^R\mathcal{G}''$ and ${\mathcal{G}'}^R\mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow {\mathcal{G}'}^R\mathcal{G}''$ are the identity on the components $\mathcal{O}_{Z^2}$. Hence, ${\mathcal{G}''}^R\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes S^{n-1}\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z)[-1]$ and ${\mathcal{G}'}^R\mathcal{G} = 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{G}^R\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes S^{n-1}\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathcal{O}_\Delta$. □

Remark 5.7. In particular, when $Z = S$ is a surface, the Proposition in conjunction with Lemma 5.2 reproves Theorem 1.2.

Remark 5.8. In contrast to the case of the non-truncated ideal functor (see Remark 3.17) it is, at least for $n \geq 3$, easy to find an object in $\ker G^R$, namely $\mathcal{O}_{Z^n} \otimes \mathfrak{a}$. Since for even $d = \dim Z$ also $G^R(\omega_{Z^n} \otimes \mathfrak{a}) = 0$, we have in the case that $Z = X$ is an Enriques surface $T_G(\mathcal{O}_{X^n} \otimes \mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}_{X^n} \otimes \mathfrak{a}$ by Equation (3.1). This shows that, although the functors $\hat{F}$ and G are very similar, the induced twists differ at least slightly. It also follows by Remark 3.12 that T_G and $T_{\mathcal{O}_{X^n} \otimes \mathfrak{a}}$ commute.

References

[1] N. Addington, *New Derived Symmetries of some Hyperkaehler Varieties*, arXiv:1112.0487 [math.AG].

[2] N. Addington and P. S. Aspinwall, *Categories of Massless D-Branes and del Pezzo Surfaces*, arXiv:1305.5767 [hep-th].

[3] R. Anno and T. Logvinenko, *On adjunctions for Fourier–Mukai transforms*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 3-4, 2069–2115.

[4] R. Anno and T. Logvinenko, *Spherical DG-functors*, arXiv:1309.5035 [math.AG].

[5] Chr. Böhning, H.-Chr. Graf von Bothmer, L. Katzarkov and P. Sosna, *Determinantal Barlow surfaces and phantom categories*, arXiv:1210.0343 [math.AG], to appear in JEMS.

[6] A. I. Bondal, *Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 1, 25–44; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. **34** (1990), no. 1, 23–42.

[7] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties*, arXiv:alg-geom/9506012.

[8] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences*, Compositio Math. **125** (2001), no. 3, 327–344.

[9] T. Bridgeland, A. King and M. Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 3, 535–554.

[10] T. Bridgeland and A. Maciocia, *Fourier–Mukai transforms for quotient varieties*, arXiv:math/9811101 [math.AG].

[11] A. D. Elagin, *Semi-orthogonal decompositions for derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **73** (2009), no. 5, 37–66; translation in Izv. Math. **73** (2009), no. 5, 893–920.
[12] A. D. Elagin, *Descent theory for semi-orthogonal decompositions*, Mat. Sb. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **203** (2012), no. 5, 33–64; translation in Sb. Math. **203** (2012), no. 5-6, 645–676.
[13] M. Haiman, *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 941–1006.
[14] A. Hochenegger, M. Kalck and D. Ploog, *Spherical subcategories: algebraic geometry*, arXiv:1208.4046v3 [math.CT].
[15] A. Hubery, *Notes on the octahedral axiom*, http://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/ ahubery/Octahedral.pdf.
[16] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier–Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
[17] A. Krug, *Extension groups of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes*, arXiv:1111.4263 [math.AG], to appear in J. Alg. Geom.
[18] A. Krug, *New derived autoequivalences of Hilbert schemes and generalised Kummer varieties*, arXiv:1301.4970 [math.AG].
[19] A. Kuznetsov, *Lefschetz decompositions and categorical resolutions of singularities*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **13** (2008), no. 4, 661–696.
[20] A. Kuznetsov and V. A. Lunts, *Categorical resolutions of irrational singularities*, arXiv:1212.6170 [math.AG].
[21] C. Meachan, *Derived autoequivalences of generalised Kummer varieties*, arXiv:1212.5286v3 [math.AG].
[22] M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, *Twisted cohomology of the Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Doc. Math. **14** (2009), 749–770.
[23] K. Oguiso and S. Schröer, *Enriques manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **661** (2011), 215–235.
[24] D. Ploog, *Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), no. 1, 62–74.
[25] D. Ploog and P. Sosna, *On autoequivalences of some Calabi–Yau and hyperkähler varieties*, arXiv:1212.4604 [math.AG], to appear in IMRN.
[26] A. Samokhin, *Some remarks on the derived categories of coherent sheaves on homogeneous spaces*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **76** (2007), no. 1, 122–134.
[27] L. Scala, *Cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface with values in representations of tautological bundles*, Duke Math. J. **150** (2009), no. 2, 211–267.
[28] P. Seidel and R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), no. 1, 37–109.
[29] S. Zube, *Exceptional vector bundles on Enriques surfaces*, Math. Notes **61** (1997), no. 6, 693–699.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: akrug@math.uni-bonn.de

Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
E-mail address: pawel.sosna@math.uni-hamburg.de